IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 3001 of 1997**

Between:

Ch. Hymavathi d/o Ch. Appa Rao
r/o.Rajahmundry,EGCT. Rajahmundry,

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Govt. of A.P. rep. by its Principal Secretary, Education Department, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, Hyderabad.
3 The Regional Joint Director of Higher Education and Competent Authority, Rajahmundry, EGDT.
4 The Correspondent, S.K.R. College for Women, Rajahmundry, East Godavari Dist.
5 The Chairman of Trust Board, Hithakarini Samaj, Anand Gardens, Rajahmundry, East Godavari Dist.
6 Smt. T. Vedavathi, in charge Principal SKR College for Women, Rajahmundry, East Godavar dist.

**....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the proceedings of the Regional Joint Director of Higher Education and Competent Authority, Rajahmundry issued in Rc.No.1498/B1/96 dated. 19-6-1996 fixing inter se seniority between the petitioner and the un-official respondent-Smt.T.Vedavathi as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.PANDURANGA RAO**

**Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: The GP for Higher Education**

**Counsel for the Respondent 4: Mr Metta Chandrasekhara Rao**

**Counsel for the respondent No.5: None appears**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.6: MR.N.RAMA MOHAN RAO**

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed questioning the interse seniority between the petitioner and 6th respondent. During the pendency of the Writ Petition it is stated that 6th respondent retired and is no more in service.

In that view of the matter, the cause of action in the Writ Petition does not survive and the same is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

_____

14-09-2004

kvrm

To

1. Principal Secretary, The Govt. of A.P., Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, AP. Hyderabad.
3 The Regional Joint Director of Higher Education and Competent Authority, Rajahmundry, EGDT.
4 The Correspondent, S.K.R. College for Women, Rajahmundry, East Godavari Dist.
5 The Chairman of Trust Board, Hithakarini Samaj, Anand Gardens, Rajahmundry, East Godavari Dist.

6. 2 CD copies.
7. Two CCs to the GP for Higher Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)